



# **Meeting Notes**

**Project:** Northwest In Motion (NWIM)

Purpose: Community Advisory Group Meeting #

**Date:** July 30, 2018

**Time:** 5:30 – 7:30 p.m.

Location: Congregation Beth Israel, Goodman Hall, 1972 NW Flanders,

Portland, OR 97209

Attendees: Kerry Askler, NW resident

Reza Farhoodi, Pearl District NA Stephen Gunvalson, PedPDX

CAG, NW resident

Julie Gustafson, Pearl District

**Business Association** 

Jeanne Harrison, NWDA (CAG

alternate)

Matthew Horn, ESCO Corp.

Daniel Hough, NW resident

Josh Kashinsky, Congregation

Beth Israel

Michael Khamsot, Street Trust Lindsay Knapp, NW resident Scott Kocher, Oregon Walks, NW

resident

Kari Lorz, New Seasons Market Rick Michaelson, NW Parking

SAG

Piseth Pich, Legacy Good

Samaritan Hospital

Ed O'Rourke, Pearl Resident

Phil Selinger, NWDA Glen Trager, Pearl NA

Yashar Vasef, United Nations Association of Portland, NW

resident

Alexandra Zimmerman, Portland Bicycle Advisory Committee/ NW District

Association

Zef Wagner, PBOT

Francesca Patricolo, PBOT Corrine Montana, PBOT Sara Schooley, Toole Design

Group

Jessica Pickul, JLA

Not in attendance:

**Kyle Chown**, Chown Hardware **Sky Colley**, *Goose Hollow resident* 

Kaylin Dugle, NW resident Jim Kennett, NW International

Hostel

Bruce Levy, Pearl District NA

(CAG alternate)

Katya Ortega-Schwartz, Core

Power Yoga NW

Mike Uhrich, St. Mary's

Cathedral

### Welcome and Introductions

 Francesca gave update on nature of the Community Advisory Group and shared that it is okay for committee members to have casual discussions outside of the meeting related to the project.

### **Public Comment**

- One member brought concerns about street classifications and spending time discussing classifications as part of the CAG. Another member proposed lowering speed limits to 20 MPH across the district.
- One member described conditions on Overton St and asked that the CAG take another look at Overton. There are no speed limit signs and no speed bumps. Construction traffic starts at 4:00 a.m. to accommodate new development. Construction workers occupy street parking. Overton St is an emergency evacuation corridor, which made sense when the street was quieter, but now no modes can get through. Zef mentioned that there may be a future workshop to specifically address Overton St.
- Members named community events for future NWIM outreach, including National Night Out, Slabtown Festival, Park(ing) Day, and WW Block Party.

## **Project and Public Involvement Update**

Jessica and Zef gave an update on online open house and public involvement.
 Seventy-one people participated in the online open house with 124 map comments thus far.

## **Existing Conditions Findings Overview**

- Zef shared a presentation on existing conditions
- Some members brought concerns about speeding and enforcement of traffic safety laws.

### Street Classification Overview and Recommendations

- Sara and Zef presented street classifications and recommendations
  - One member asked about classifications for Everett and Glisan. Zef noted that ODOT's classifications are different than Portland's.
  - Some residents preferred traffic to be dispersed across the district and for streets to stay at their current classification.

## **Group Activity: Mode Prioritization**

- Jessica offered three questions for small group discussion. Zef, Francesca, Sara and Corrine facilitated and took notes. The following includes comments from all four groups:
  - Topic 1: Should we maintain bike classifications on Everett and Glisan, or is Flanders sufficient once the bridge is constructed?
    - We need to see how Flanders functions as a bikeway in order to determine changes for Everett and Glisan
    - Flanders needs a lot of investment to make it safe and easy for cyclists to use

- Frequent stops for cyclists on Glisan are a barrier for use
- 16<sup>th</sup> and Flanders is a dangerous crossing
- Proximity is helpful on Glisan and Flanders but fully protected bike lanes are needed
- Don't remove bike lanes on Everett and Glisan. Lanes could be parking protected.
- Need to improve stops on Flanders
- Not sure new traffic classifications will help
- There are occasionally large amounts of traffic for events on Everett and Glisan (specifically related to Congregation Beth Israel), which have visitors that aren't familiar with the area
- Need to have transition from Everett/Glisan to Flanders
- Turn stop signs
- If there's no bikeway, people will bike in lane. Allows the different types of cyclists to choose their route.
- Learn from Everett potentially use the same treatment on Glisan?
- Bike lane on Glisan after 14<sup>th</sup>. The uphill makes it threatening to take a lane
- Ensure that there are connections to the Pearl
- Need connection from bridge to 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup>
- Want to give flexibility to cyclists
- Everett is good for commuters
- Everett is safer now
- Keep as is but emphasize Flanders
- Safety or speed?
- Better to not be around cars, Everett is stressful
- Topic 2: Should we remove bike classifications from Overton and Raleigh and Thurman and consolidate bikeways in that area to Pettygrove and Savier?
  - Overton St as a unique problem
  - Difficulty getting to Naito, crossing 23<sup>rd</sup> and navigating I-405 bridge near Thurman by bike
  - Lack of stops from 9<sup>th</sup> through 14<sup>th</sup> is good for cyclists
  - Remove stop signs and add diverters
  - Prioritize Pettygrove to connect to Thurman. Improve Thurman, especially 29<sup>th</sup>-31<sup>st</sup>.
  - Need to be real advantages since all streets are calm at this point.
     It should be obvious which roads are for biking and have very visible and advantageous infrastructure
  - Should have some protection on Savier, potentially Quimby

- Streetcar alignment may go over there in the future check on alignment and timeline
- Naito as bike facility to Savier
- Traffic very high on Overton and Raleigh
- Intersection painting
- Better visibility
- Condense
- Thurman makes sense
- Topic 3: Should we upgrade streets that already function as collectors like Everett/Glisan, 18th/19th, 25th, and Northrup to Neighborhood Collectors?
  - Northrup should not be classified as a collector west of 23<sup>rd</sup>
  - Lovejoy and 25<sup>th</sup> noted as a dangerous crossing
  - Crosswalks and traffic circles on 25<sup>th</sup> are not working well
  - Traffic calming proposed along 25<sup>th</sup> especially near the park
  - Maintain or lower speeds to 20 MPH throughout the district
  - Concern about increased traffic on 18<sup>th</sup>/19<sup>th</sup> if classification changes to collector
  - Need to reduce speed of collectors
  - Do collectors mean more money for investments? This is important in messaging the need for/advantages of being classified as neighborhood collectors
  - Interesting that 25<sup>th</sup> and 16<sup>th</sup> are neighborhood collectors
  - Diversion on 25<sup>th</sup>, keep people on 405. Don't think that 25<sup>th</sup> needs to be a collector – it's cut through traffic to the west hills – should be diversion at the north "entrance"
  - Collector shouldn't have two-way traffic and streetcar
  - 18<sup>th</sup> and 19<sup>th</sup>, Everett and Glisan makes sense
  - Broader question of whether we want to concentrate or disperse traffic, local or cut-through – previous planning efforts have leaned towards dispersion
  - Everett and Glisan are definitely neighborhood collectors
  - Don't be too wedded to older plans because traffic and density are higher
  - 25<sup>th</sup> is much harder because it is residential
  - Northrup up to 23<sup>rd</sup>
  - Hard to handle traffic on 23<sup>rd</sup>, maybe with more transit