

# PPB Force Data Summary Report



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Covering Dates: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Total Force Data Collection Reports Written: 315  
Individuals Involved in FDCR Force Incidents: 215  
Number of Cases Involving FDCR Force: 194  
Force without Custody: 25

## Total PPB Custodies: Total: 6,606

Male White	3,208	49%	Male Native American	103	2%
Female White	1,135	17%	Female Native American	31	0%
Male Black	1,152	17%	Male Asian	148	2%
Female Black	263	4%	Female Asian	37	1%
Male Hispanic	424	6%	Male Undetermined	21	0%
Female Hispanic	75	1%	Female Undetermined	9	0%
			Unknown	0	0%

## Total Applications of Force: Total: 342

Holds with Injury	6	2%	Bean Bag Rounds	1	0%
Takedowns	144	42%	K9	3	1%
Strikes / Kicks	27	8%	Baton*	1	0%
Pepper Spray	5	1%	Taser	25	7%
			Pointing of Firearm	130	38%

\*Baton used as a pry tool

## Type of Force Used Per Incident (Person): Total: 249

Holds with Injury	5	2%	Bean Bag Rounds	1	0%
Takedowns	95	38%	K9	3	1%
Strikes / Kicks	23	9%	Baton	1	0%
Pepper Spray	5	2%	Taser	20	8%
			Pointing of Firearm	96	39%

## Calls for Service:

Calls for Service	April	% of Total	May	% of Total	June	% of Total	Total Q2 2016
Citizen Initiated	20,548	69%	21,460	70%	21,451	72%	63,459
Officer Initiated	9,434	31%	9,340	30%	8,518	28%	27,292
Total	29,982	33%	30,800	34%	29,969	33%	90,751

# PPB Force Data Summary Report Continued



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Covering Dates: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

## Force Data Collection Report Summary:

	This year compared to last year			This quarter compared to last quarter		
	Q2 2016	Q2 2015	Change +/-	Q2 2016	Q1 2016	Change +/-
FDCRs Completed	315	254	24%	315	269	17%
Total Cases w/Force*	194	165	18%	194	167	16%
Total Calls for Service	90,751	96,738	-6%	90,751	85,470	6%

\*Refers to the count of case numbers where force was used.


## Precinct/Division Officer Using Force is Assigned To:

Total: 315

Central	91	29%	Family Services	0	0%
East	121	38%	Other	2	1%
North	65	21%	TOD	14	4%
K9	3	1%	Traffic	9	3%
Detectives	0	0%	Transit	7	2%
DVD	1	0%	Youth Services Division	2	1%

## Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of FDCRs Written Compared to**  
**the Total Applications of Force Each Quarter**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



## PPB Force Data Summary Report Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division


Covering Dates: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Charts Continued:

### Portland Police Bureau Custodies by Demographic April 2016 - June 2016



### Portland Police Bureau Force Incidents by Demographic April 2016 - June 2016



## PPB Force Data Summary Report Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division


Covering Dates: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Charts Continued:

### Portland Police Bureau Force Data Collection Reports (FDCRs) Written April 2016 - June 2016



### Portland Police Bureau Total Applications of Each Type of Force April 2016 - June 2016



## PPB Force Data Summary Report Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Covering Dates: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Charts Continued:


### Portland Police Bureau

#### Number of FDCRs Written and the Precinct the Officer is Assigned To April 2016 - June 2016



### Portland Police Bureau

#### Top 20 Call Types Resulting in a Force Case by Number of Cases April 2016 - June 2016



# PPB Force Data Summary Report Continued



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Covering Dates: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

## Charts Continued:

Central Precinct								
HOUR	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT	TOTAL
0000-0559	1	0	0	0	0	7	10	18
0600-1159	7	5	1	3	5	1	0	22
1200-1759	7	3	2	5	1	1	0	19
1800-2359	8	1	3	6	10	2	2	32
Total	23	9	6	14	16	11	12	91
<--Less-----More-->								

Central Precinct Heat Map. The number of FDCRs written for each hour and day of week that the force occurred.

East Precinct								
HOUR	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT	TOTAL
0000-0559	10	2	2	3	6	2	7	32
0600-1159	3	0	1	0	3	0	4	11
1200-1759	2	4	9	2	7	4	9	37
1800-2359	10	3	9	6	1	5	7	41
Total	25	9	21	11	17	11	27	121
<--Less-----More-->								

East Precinct Heat Map. The number of FDCRs written for each hour and day of week that the force occurred.

North Precinct								
HOUR	SUN	MON	TUE	WED	THU	FRI	SAT	TOTAL
0000-0559	3	4	0	1	2	6	5	21
0600-1159	0	1	0	3	2	0	1	7
1200-1759	0	5	1	4	2	0	3	15
1800-2359	5	3	1	1	4	0	8	22
Total	8	13	2	9	10	6	17	65
<--Less-----More-->								

North Precinct Heat Map. The number of FDCRs written for each hour and day of week that the force occurred.

## PPB Force Data Summary Report Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Covering Dates: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Charts Continued:

### Portland Police Bureau


**PPB responded to 90,751 calls for service during April-June 2016.  
63,459 calls were initiated by a community member and of those,  
only 139 resulted in a force event.**



■ Community Initiated Calls for Service Resulting in a Use of Force    ■ Total Citizen Initiated Calls

### Portland Police Bureau

**Total Number of Officer-initiated Calls Compared to the Number of  
Officer-initiated Calls Resulting in a Use of Force  
April 2016 - June 2016**



■ Officer-initiated Calls Resulting in a Use of Force    ■ Total Officer Initiated Calls

# PPB Force Data Report - Holds with Injury



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Holds with Injury:						Total: 5
Male White	3	60%	Male Native American	0	0%	
Female White	0	0%	Female Native American	0	0%	
Male Black	1	20%	Male Asian	0	0%	
Female Black	0	0%	Female Asian	0	0%	
Male Hispanic	1	20%	Male Undetermined	0	0%	
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%	

## Perspective:

These **5** control holds with injuries represent 3% of the **194** FDCR level force events that occurred this quarter.

These **5** control holds with injuries represent **less than one percent (0.08%)** of the **6,606** times PPB took someone into custody this quarter.


These **5** control holds with injuries represent less than one one-thousandth of one percent (**0.0001%**) of the **90,751** calls for service PPB responded to this quarter.

Persons who experienced control holds with injuries represent less than **3%** of all persons against whom FDCR level force was applied this quarter.

**One** of these persons was identified as a person in a mental health crisis.

## Force Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of Custodies (Green Bar) to**  
**Number of Control Holds with Injury by Person (Blue Line)**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



# PPB Force Data Report - Holds with Injury Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Force Charts Continued:

## Portland Police Bureau Total Applications of Control Holds with Injury to Total Applications of Force for Each Quarter Q2 2014 - Q2 2016



NOTE: Total applications of control holds with injury will sometimes be higher than the number of persons who had a control hold with injury applied because sometimes multiple officers use the same type of force against the same person. See definitions page for further explanation.

# PPB Force Data Report - Takedown



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016


Takedowns:						Total: 95
Male White	46	48%	Male Native American	0	0%	
Female White	10	11%	Female Native American	0	0%	
Male Black	22	23%	Male Asian	1	1%	
Female Black	4	4%	Female Asian	0	0%	
Male Hispanic	12	13%	Male Undetermined	0	0%	
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%	

## Perspective:

The **95** takedowns represent **49%** of the **194** FDCR level force events that occurred this quarter.  
These **95** takedowns represent just over **one percent (1.44%)** of the **6,606** times PPB took someone into custody this quarter.  
These **95** takedowns represent **less than one-tenth of one percent (0.09%)** of the **90,751** calls for service PPB responded to this quarter.  
Persons who were taken down represent **44%** of the total persons against whom FDCR level force was applied this quarter.  
**Twenty-nine** of these persons were identified as persons who were in a mental health crisis.

## Force Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of Custodies (Green Bar) Compared to**  
**Number of Takedowns by Person (Blue Line)**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



# PPB Force Data Report - Takedown Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Force Charts Continued:

## Portland Police Bureau Total Applications of Takedowns to Total Applications of Force for Each Quarter Q2 2014 - Q2 2016



NOTE: Total applications of takedowns will sometimes be higher than the number of persons who had a takedown applied because sometimes multiple officers use the same type of force against the same person. See definitions page for further explanation.

# PPB Force Data Report - Strikes/Kicks



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Strikes & Kicks						Total: 23
Male White	12	52%	Male Native American	0	0%	
Female White	2	9%	Female Native American	0	0%	
Male Black	5	22%	Male Asian	0	0%	
Female Black	1	4%	Female Asian	0	0%	
Male Hispanic	3	13%	Male Undetermined	0	0%	
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%	

## Perspective:

These **23** strikes and kicks represent **12%** of the **194** FDCR level force events that occurred this quarter.

These **23** strikes and kicks represent **less than one-half of one percent (0.35%)** of the **6,606** times PPB took someone into custody this quarter.


These **23** strikes and kicks represent **less than one-tenth of one percent (0.03%)** of the **90,751** calls for service PPB responded to this quarter.

Persons who received strikes and kicks represent **10.7%** of the total persons against whom FDCR level force was applied this quarter.

**Six** of these people were identified as people who were in a mental health crisis.

## Force Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of Custodies (Green Bar) Compared to**  
**Number of Strike/Kicks Events by Person (Blue Line)**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



\*Strikes/Kicks are titled on the FDCR form as Hands/Feet. Hands/Feet refers to the strikes to a person without the use of an object.

Example: Strikes with hands/feet/knees/elbows. Count refers to events, not a count of single strikes.

# PPB Force Data Report - Strikes/Kicks Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Force Charts Continued:

## Portland Police Bureau Total Applications of Strikes/Kicks Compared to Total Applications of Force for Each Quarter Q2 2014 - Q2 2016



NOTE: Total applications of strikes/kicks will sometimes be higher than the number of persons who had a strike/kick applied because sometimes multiple officers use the same type of force against the same person. See definitions page for further explanation.

# PPB Force Data Report - Baton



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Baton						Total: 1
Male White	1	0%	Male Native American	0	0%	
Female White	0	0%	Female Native American	0	0%	
Male Black	0	0%	Male Asian	0	0%	
Female Black	0	0%	Female Asian	0	0%	
Male Hispanic	0	0%	Male Undetermined	0	0%	
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%	

## Perspective:

The **1** baton application represents **0.5 %** of the **194** FDCR level force events that occurred this quarter.

The **1** baton application represents **less than one tenth of one percent (0.02%)** of the **6,606** times PPB took someone into custody this quarter.

The **1** baton application represents **less than one-hundredth of one percent (0.001%)** of the **90,751** calls PPB responded to in this quarter.


The person against whom a baton was used represents **0.5%** of the total persons against whom FDCR level force was applied this quarter.

The **1** application of a baton was as a pry tool and not as a striking instrument.

The person was identified as a person who was in a mental health crisis.

## Force Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of Custodies (Green Bar) compared to**  
**Number of Baton Uses by Person (Blue Line)**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



## PPB Force Data Report - Baton Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

### Force Charts Continued:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Total Applications of Baton Compared to**  
**Total Applications of Force for Each Quarter**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



NOTE: Total applications of baton will sometimes be higher than the number of persons who had a baton applied because sometimes multiple officers use the same type of force against the same person. See definitions page for further explanation.

# PPB Force Data Report - Pepper Spray



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Pepper Spray						Total: 5
Male White	2	40%	Male Native American	0	0%	
Female White	0	0%	Female Native American	0	0%	
Male Black	1	20%	Male Asian	0	0%	
Female Black	2	40%	Female Asian	0	0%	
Male Hispanic	0	0%	Male Undetermined	0	0%	
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%	

## Perspective:

These **5** applications of Pepper Spray represent **3%** of the **194** FDCR level force events that occurred this quarter.

These **5** applications of Pepper Spray represent **less than one tenth of one percent (0.08%)** of the **6,606** times PPB took someone into custody this quarter.


These **5** applications of Pepper Spray represent **less than one-hundredth of one percent (0.006%)** of the **90,751** calls for service that PPB responded to this quarter.

Persons who were pepper sprayed represent less than **3.0% of the total persons** against whom FDCR level force was applied this quarter.

**Two** of these people were identified as people who were in a mental health crisis.

## Force Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of Custodies (Green Bar) Compared to**  
**Number of Pepper Spray Uses by Person (Blue Line)**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



## PPB Force Data Report - Pepper Spray Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Force Charts Continued:

### Portland Police Bureau Total Applications of Pepper Spray Compared to Total Applications of Force for Each Quarter Q2 2014 - Q2 2016



NOTE: Total applications of pepper spray will sometimes be higher than the number of persons who had pepper spray applied because sometimes multiple officers use the same type of force against the same person. See definitions page for further explanation.

# PPB Force Data Report - Taser



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016


Taser						Total: 20
Male White	12	60%	Male Native American	1	5%	
Female White	1	5%	Female Native American	0	0%	
Male Black	4	20%	Male Asian	0	0%	
Female Black	1	5%	Female Asian	0	0%	
Male Hispanic	1	5%	Male Undetermined	0	0%	
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%	

## Perspective:

These **20** Taser uses represent **10%** of the **194** FDCR level force events that occurred this quarter.  
 These **20** Taser uses represent **less than one percent (0.30%)** of the **6,606** times PPB took someone into custody this quarter.  
 These **20** Taser uses represent **less than one-tenth of one percent (0.02%)** of the **90,751** calls for service PPB responded to this quarter.  
 Persons who were tased represent **9% of the total persons** against whom FDCR level force was applied this quarter.  
 The Taser was applied 3 or more times on 5 persons.  
**Eleven** persons were identified as people who were in a mental health crisis.

## Force Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of Custodies (Green Bar) Compared to**  
**Number of Taser Uses by Person (Blue Line)**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



# PPB Force Data Report - Taser Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Force Charts Continued:

## Portland Police Bureau Total Applications of Taser Compared to Total Applications of Force for Each Quarter Q2 2014 - Q2 2016



NOTE: Total applications of Taser will sometimes be higher than the number of persons who had a Taser applied because sometimes multiple officers use the same type of force against the same person. See definitions page for further explanation.

# PPB Force Data Report - Bean Bag Rounds



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Bean Bag Rounds:						Total: 1
Male White	0	0%	Male Native American	0	0%	
Female White	0	0%	Female Native American	0	0%	
Male Black	0	0%	Male Asian	0	0%	
Female Black	0	0%	Female Asian	0	0%	
Male Hispanic	1	100%	Male Undetermined	0	0%	
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%	

## Perspective:

This Bean Bag use represents **0.5%** of the **194** FDCR level force events that occurred this quarter.

This **1** Bean Bag use represents **less than one tenth of one percent (0.02%)** of the **6,606** times PPB took someone into custody this quarter.


This **1** Bean Bag use represents **less than one-thousandth of one percent (0.001%)** of the **90,751** calls for service PPB responded to this quarter.

The person who was hit with the Bean Bag Rounds represents **less than 1% of the total persons** whom FDCR level force was applied this quarter.

This person was not identified as a person in a mental health crisis.

## Force Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of Custodies (Green Bar) Compared to**  
**Number of Bean Bag Rounds by Person (Blue Line)**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



# PPB Force Data Report - Bean Bag Rounds Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Force Charts Continued:

## Portland Police Bureau Total Applications of Bean Bag Rounds Compared to Total Applications of Force for Each Quarter Q2 2014 - Q2 2016



NOTE: Total applications of bean bag rounds will sometimes be higher than the number of persons who had bean bag rounds applied because sometimes multiple officers use the same type of force against the same person. See definitions page for further explanation.

# PPB Force Data Report - Pointing of a Firearm



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Pointing of a Firearm:						Total: 96
Male White	36	38%	Male Native American	0	0%	
Female White	6	6%	Female Native American	0	0%	
Male Black	40	42%	Male Asian	0	0%	
Female Black	5	5%	Female Asian	0	0%	
Male Hispanic	8	8%	Male Undetermined	0	0%	
Female Hispanic	1	1%	Female undetermined	0	0%	

## Perspective:

These **96** instances where an officer pointed a firearm represent **49%** of the **194 FDCR level** force events that occurred this quarter.

These **96** instances where an officer pointed a firearm represent **over one percent (1.45%)** of the **6,606** times PPB took someone into custody this quarter.

These **96** instances where an officer pointed a firearm represent **less than 1 percent (0.12%)** of the **90,751** calls for service PPB responded to this quarter.

Persons who had a firearm pointed at them represent **45%** of the total persons against whom FDCR level force was applied this quarter.


**Seven** subjects who had a firearm pointed at them also received some other kind of force applied to them.

**Eighty-nine** persons had a firearm pointed at them only, received no additional applications of force.

**Ten** of these people were identified as people who were in a mental health crisis.

## Force Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of Custodies (Green Bar) Compared to**  
**Number of Pointing of a Firearm Incidents by Person (Blue Line)**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



## PPB Force Data Report - Pointing of a Firearm Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Force Charts Continued:

### Portland Police Bureau Total Pointing of a Firearm Incidents Compared to Total Applications of Force for Each Quarter Q2 2014 - Q2 2016



NOTE: Total applications of pointing of a firearm will sometimes be higher than the number of persons who had pointing of a firearm applied because sometimes multiple officers use the same type of force against the same person. See definitions page for further explanation.

# PPB Force Data Report - K-9



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016


K-9			Total: 3		
Male White	3	100%	Male Native American	0	0%
Female White	0	0%	Female Native American	0	0%
Male Black	0	0%	Male Asian	0	0%
Female Black	0	0%	Female Asian	0	0%
Male Hispanic	0	0%	Male Undetermined	0	0%
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%

## Perspective:

The **3** K-9 bites represent **2%** of **194** FDCR level force events that occurred this quarter.  
The **3** K-9 bites represent **less than one-tenth of one percent (0.05%)** of the **6,606** times PPB took someone into custody this quarter.  
The **3** K-9 bites represent less than **one-hundredth of one percent (0.003%)** of the **90,751** calls for service that PPB responded to this quarter.  
Persons bitten by a K-9 represent less than **2%** of the total persons against whom FDCR level force was applied this quarter.  
**One** of these people was identified as a person in a mental health crisis.

## Force Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Number of Custodies (Green Bar) Compared to**  
**Number of K-9 Bites by Person (Blue Line)**  
**Q2 2014 - Q2 2016**



## PPB Force Data Report - K-9 Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Force Charts Continued:

### Portland Police Bureau

#### Total K-9 Bites Compared to Total Applications of Force for Each Quarter Q2 2014 - Q2 2016




NOTE: Total applications of K-9 Bites will sometimes be higher than the number of persons who had a K-9 Bites applied because sometimes multiple officers use the same type of force against the same person. See definitions page for further explanation.

### Portland Police Bureau

#### Canine Unit Stats

Q2 2016



# PPB Force Data Report - Subjects had Perceived or Actual Mental Illness



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

## Subject had Perceived or Actual Mental Illness Demographics: Total: 49


Male White	26	53%	Male Native American	0	0%
Female White	7	14%	Female Native American	0	0%
Male Black	11	22%	Male Asian	0	0%
Female Black	3	6%	Female Asian	0	0%
Male Hispanic	2	4%	Male Undetermined	0	0%
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%

## Type of Force Used per Subject: Total: 61

Holds with Injury	1	2%	Bean Bag Rounds	0	0%
Takedowns	29	59%	K9	1	2%
Strikes/Kicks	6	12%	Baton	1	2%
Pepper Spray	2	4%	Taser	11	22%
			Pointing of Firearm	10	20%

## Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Type of Force Used and Subject with Perceived or Actual Mental Illness**  
**April 2016 - June 2016**



## ***Subjects had Perceived or Actual Mental Illness Continued***




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Charts Continued:

### **Portland Police Bureau Armed Subject with Perceived or Actual Mental Illness April 2016 - June 2016**



# PPB Force Data Report - Subject Under the Influence of Drugs/Alcohol



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

## Subject Under the Influence of Drugs/Alcohol Demographics: Total: 86


Male White	46	53%	Male Native American	0	0%
Female White	6	7%	Female Native American	0	0%
Male Black	21	24%	Male Asian	1	1%
Female Black	2	2%	Female Asian	0	0%
Male Hispanic	10	12%	Male Undetermined	0	0%
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%

## Type of Force Used per Subject: Total: 105

Holds with Injury	2	2%	Bean Bag Rounds	0	0%
Takedowns	57	66%	K9	1	1%
Strikes/Kicks	17	20%	Baton	0	0%
Pepper Spray	2	2%	Taser	10	12%
			Pointing of Firearm	16	19%

## Charts:

**Portland Police Bureau**  
**Type of Force Used and Subject Under the Influence of Drugs/Alcohol**  
**April 2016 - June 2016**



## PPB Force Data Report - Subject Under the Influence of Drugs/Alcohol




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Charts Continued:

### Portland Police Bureau Armed Subject Under the Influence of Drugs/Alcohol April 2016 - June 2016



## PPB Force Data Report - Transient Subject



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Transient Subject Demographics:	Total: 107
---------------------------------	------------


Male White	63	59%	Male Native American	1	1%
Female White	5	5%	Female Native American	0	0%
Male Black	27	25%	Male Asian	0	0%
Female Black	3	3%	Female Asian	0	0%
Male Hispanic	8	7%	Male Undetermined	0	0%
Female Hispanic	0	0%	Female undetermined	0	0%

Type of Force Used per Subject:	Total: 124
---------------------------------	------------

Holds with Injury	2	2%	Bean Bag Rounds	0	0%
Takedowns	59	55%	K9	2	2%
Strikes/Kicks	12	11%	Baton	0	0%
Pepper Spray	2	2%	Taser	9	8%
			Pointing of Firearm	38	36%

Charts:

### Portland Police Bureau Type of Force Used and Transient Subject April 2016 - June 2016



## PPB Force Data Report - Transient Subject Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Charts Continued:

### Portland Police Bureau Armed Transient Subject April 2016 - June 2016



# PPB Force Data Report - Subjects with Weapons



Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

Subjects with Weapons						Total: 71
Male White	31	44%	Male Native American	1	1%	
Female White	4	6%	Female Native American	0	0%	
Male Black	27	38%	Male Asian	0	0%	
Female Black	2	3%	Female Asian	0	0%	
Male Hispanic	5	7%	Male Undetermined	0	0%	
Female Hispanic	1	1%	Female undetermined	0	0%	


## Other Information:

Subjects with Weapons as % of Overall Subjects (Q2): 33%  
Subject with Weapon Identified as Person in Crisis: 25  
Subject Identified as Under the Influence of Alcohol or Drugs: 20

## Force Charts:

### Portland Police Bureau

Number of Armed Subjects  
April 2016 - June 2016



# PPB Force Data Report - Subjects with Weapons Continued




Prepared By: Lieutenant Frank Gorgone, Acting Inspector  
Heidi Busche, Troy Fultz, Shannon Smith, Crime Analysts  
Strategic Services Division

Dates Covered: April 1, 2016 to June 30, 2016

## Force Charts Continued:

### Portland Police Bureau


#### Armed Subjects - Weapon Type



\*6 Subjects were armed with more than one weapon.

### Portland Police Bureau

#### Force Applied to Armed Subjects



\*Subjects may have more than one type of FDCR force used against them.

## Types of Force

<b>Control Holds with Injury</b>	A control hold with injury event occurs when an officer applies physical control to a person and an injury results. The physical control may not have caused the injury but an FDCR will be completed and a force investigation will occur.
<b>Takedown</b>	A takedown occurs when an officer moves a subject from an upright position to the ground by applying some amount of force. It is <b>not</b> a takedown if the subject goes to the ground under their own power.
<b>Strikes/Kicks</b>	Strikes/Kicks events occur when an officer uses their hands, elbow, knees or feet to strike a subject as an application of force. These are different events from strikes with a baton which are captured in the "Baton" category.
<b>Baton</b>	A baton event occurs when an officer strikes a subject with a baton or uses a baton as leverage or pry tool against a subject.
<b>Pepper Spray</b>	A pepper spray event occurs when an officer uses pepper spray on a person.
<b>Less Lethal Bean Bag Rounds</b>	A bean bag round event occurs when an officer fires less than lethal impact munition at a subject, whether the subject is struck or not.
<b>Taser</b>	A Taser event occurs when an officer deploys the Taser to a subject in probe or drive stun mode. Taser applications are counted whether they were effective or not.
<b>Persons Having a Firearm Pointed at Them</b>	A Pointing of a firearm event occurs when an officer points a firearm at a subject. This includes handguns, lethal shotguns and rifles. This does not include the pointing a Taser or less-lethal shotgun at a subject.
<b>K-9 Involvement</b>	A K-9 Involvement occurs when a K-9 is deployed and delivers a bite to a subject.  Maximum restraint was discontinued as an approved use of force in April 2015. Numbers are as follows: Hobble: Q1 2014-16, Q2 2014-13; Maximum Restraint: Q3 2014-9, Q4 2014-8, Q1 2015-5, and Q2 2015-1.
<b>Maximum Restraint</b>	Previous Force Data Collection Reports (FDCR) featured this category labelled as "Hobble" although it was not used to track all hobble applications, only those used to accomplish maximum restraint. The FDCR and subsequent quarterly reports were changed to more accurately reflect the data tracked.

## Measurement Definitions

### Total Force Data Collection Reports (FDCRs) Written

When an officer uses force reportable on a Force Data Collection Report (FDCR), the officer must complete an FDCR. This category captures the number of FDCR's written by officers within the quarter of analysis. An FDCR can report more than one category of force if used against a single person. This accounts for the difference seen between the number of FDCRs written and the total number of force applications. One FDCR may contain more than one application of force.

For example, an officer who used a strike and a takedown would complete a single FDCR reflecting both applications of force.

### Individuals Involved in FDCR Force Events

This captures the number of people against whom force was used. If a person has force used against them during more than one force event over the span of the quarter, that person is counted for each time force was used against them. For example, a person having a firearm pointed at them in June and again in July would be counted as two individuals involved in force events in this category.

### Number of Cases Involving FDCR force

This is the total number of unique cases (identified by case number) that included an FDCR-level force event within the quarter of analysis. Multiple subjects within the same case may have had force used against them, but the case will still be counted once.

### Force Without Custody

This is the total of the number of people who were the subject of the application of force and were **not taken into custody**.

Generally these incidents include; disengagement after a force event, passengers (with unproven criminal culpability) in high-risk traffic stops, protestors, when officers are unable to make arrests due to crowd size or other factors , subjects detained and released as a consequence of mistaken identity or when probable cause dissipates through the officer's investigation.

<p><b>Total PPB Custodies</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Arrests (felony and misdemeanor)</li> <li>• Transports to detox</li> <li>• Transports to hospitals</li> <li>• Transports to mental health facilities</li> <li>• Protective Custodies</li> </ul>
<p><b>Total Applications of Force</b></p>	<p>This captures each <b>unique</b> type of force applied to each unique subject in each unique case.</p> <p>Example 1: if officer A applies two strikes and officer B applies a takedown, it would be captured here as one application of a strike and one application of a takedown.</p> <p>Example 2: If officer A applies multiple strikes and a takedown and officer B applies multiple strikes and pepper spray, it would be captured here as the application of two strikes, the application of one takedown and the application of one pepper spray deployment.</p> <p>Example 3: If officer A applies pepper spray to suspect Y and suspect Z and officer B applies pepper spray and a takedown to suspect Y, it would be captured as three pepper sprays and one takedown.</p>
<p><b>Type of Force Used Per Incident (Person)</b></p>	<p>This captures the number of <b>unique</b> subjects against whom force was used. In the <b>Data Force Summary Report</b> it is broken down by type of force applied. In the <b>PPB Force Data Report (specific to type of force)</b> it is broken down by demographic.</p> <p>If a subject had force used against them by more than one officer, they are <b>only counted once</b>. The demographic information is captured in this manner. All types of force applied (regardless of source) are captured and counted here. For example; if officer A applied a takedown and officer B applied a Control Hold with injury, the subject would be counted one time in each category. But if the subject was taken down by two officers, the subject is counted in the takedown category only once.</p>

<b>Calls for Service</b>	<p>This data is provided by the Bureau of Emergency Communication (BOEC), it provides the number of calls that officers responded to within the quarter of analysis.</p> <p>Citizen initiated calls are those that citizens call-in to dispatch and are assigned a priority level, (1-9) by dispatch (BOEC). Priority 1 calls are the most urgent and priority 9 calls are the lowest priority.</p> <p>Officer initiated calls are those incidents where the officer takes action based on independent observations or information obtained from locations other than BOEC, such as being alerted to crime by a citizen or a vehicle stop for a traffic violation.</p>
<b>Precinct/Division Officer Using Force is Assigned to</b>	<p>This captures the precinct of assignment for each officer who applied force at the time of the force event.</p>
<b>Type of Force as a Percentage of Overall Force</b>	<p>This is the ratio of each unique category of force divided by the total applications of all force during the period of review. It is provided for each type of FDCR level force.</p>
<b>% of Subjects to Whom a Specific Type of Force was Applied</b>	<p>This is the ratio of the total number of people against whom a specific type of force was applied divided by the total number of individual people against whom force was applied during the review period.</p>
<b>Subject Identified as a Person in Crisis</b>	<p>This is a total of the people against whom a specific type of force was applied that were identified by the officers, either at the time or through subsequent investigation, as having perceived or actual mental illness.</p>
<b>Undetermined Individuals</b>	<p>Reflects force was used against a person whose identity and demographic information was unable to be determined. This occurs most often in protest settings where the dynamics of the event prevent the capture of the person against whom force was used.</p>

<p><b>Force as a % of Custodies</b></p>	<p>Custodies represent individuals who were arrested; transported to detox, hospitals, or mental health facilities; and individuals placed in protective custody.</p> <p>This statistic, force as a percentage of custodies, is the total for 'Type of Force Used Per Incident (Person)' found on the PPB Force Data Summary Report divided by the total number of custodies for the quarter of analysis.</p>
<p><b>Rate of Force</b></p>	<p>This statistic, rate of force, is the total for each category of force, as reported on the FDCR, (located on the top of each report that is specific to force type) divided by the total number of unique subjects for the quarter of analysis.</p>
<p><b>% of Type of Force by Precinct</b></p>	<p>This is total number of applications of each type of force applied in a precinct divided by the total number of applications of that type of force. Precincts are Central, East, North, and Other. Other includes the following divisions; Detectives, Drugs and Vice, K-9, Tactical Operations, Traffic, Youth Services, Family Services, and Transit Police.</p>
<p><b>Taser Over 2 Cycles</b></p>	<p>This includes the number of times a single subject had three or more Taser cycles applied to them. This <b><i>includes ineffective</i></b> Taser cycles and <b><i>does not</i></b> distinguish the application of the Taser cycle by officer, rather this number is cumulative.</p> <p>A Taser cycle occurs when an officer pulls the trigger of the Taser and delivers energy to a person for duration of up to five (5) seconds. Each additional delivery of energy for five (5) seconds or fraction thereof, is a unique cycle and requires justification by the operator. For example, an application that lasts just one second is one cycle. A subsequent, two second application is a second cycle. An application that lasts six seconds is counted as two cycles.</p>

<b>K9 Cover</b>	A call where the K9 handler is sent on a call to use the dog as an asset, but the dog is not deployed. Example: A high risk traffic stop where the dog is ready to apprehend a fleeing person if needed.
<b>K9 Application</b>	A call where the dog is deployed to be used as a locating, clearing, or apprehension tool.
<b>K9 Capture</b>	A call where the dog is deployed and a suspect is taken into custody based on the use of the dog.
<b>K9 Bite</b>	A call where the dog is sent to bite and apprehend the person at the direction of the handler. This number does not include instances where the dog is sent to bite and apprehend a person but is called off because the person surrenders before the dog reaches them.
<b>Transient</b>	Subjects listed in our Records Management System as “Transient” are counted in this category. Because housing is fluid for this population, subjects may be counted who were previously transient but are no longer transient, and vice versa.
<b>Subject Under the Influence of Drugs/Alcohol</b>	Number of <i>unique</i> subjects who were documented as under the influence of either/both drugs/alcohol, or in possession of drugs.

---

## DATA SOURCES

---

### FORCE EVENT DATA

Force Event data are collected by PPB officers at the time of the force event. Force Data Collection Reports (FDCR's) are required to be completed by officers by the end of the shift within which the event occurred.

Additional information regarding Force Events is captured in the After Action Report (AAR). AARs are completed by the involved officer's supervisor (Sergeant) and are required to be completed by within 72 hours of the force event. AAR's are reviewed by command staff within each level of the organization.

All reports are submitted to REGJIN RMS. Force Event data is queried from REGJIN RMS at the Portland Police Bureau in the City of Portland.

### K-9 DATA

Data on K-9 involvement were provided by the K-9 Division of the Portland Police Bureau in the City of Portland.

### CALLS FOR SERVICE DATA

Calls for Service data is provided by the Bureau of Emergency Communications in the City of Portland.

### ARREST DATA

Arrest data is queried from the REGJIN RMS at the Portland Police Bureau in the City of Portland.

---

You can submit comments or suggestions about this report by navigating to this address:  
<https://www.research.net/r/UOFReportFeedback>  
Responses will be accepted for 90-days from when this report is published.