

**City of Portland**  
**Police Accountability Commission (PAC)**  
**Advance Public Comment for 06-29-23 Meeting**

*Advance public comment begins after this line.*

---

**Comment #1**

**Name:** Brian Owendoff

**Zip code:** 97229

**Are you submitting comments on behalf of an organization?** No

**Please identify what topic is your public comment related to:** Reporting and Transparency (including how raw data is released to the public and Council, how reports are structured and presented to City Council, and more)

**Public comment:** I would like to place a public comment regarding the formation of the Oversight Body to PPB by the PAC. I have lived and worked in the City of Portland since 2008 and have developed over \$1.5 billion of mixed use projects in downtown Portland that annually provide \$30 million in taxes to fund city and county government operations. I am also President of the Forest Height HOA, the largest HOA in the City of Portland with over 6,500 residents. Given the very large budget the Oversight Body (OB) will have at its disposal, and given Portland City code, the OB needs to have a Budget Advisory Committee (BAC) to oversee their budgetary decisions. The BAC membership should be appointed by a neutral party and should not be related, in any way, to the PAC and OB membership.

---

**Comment #2**

**Name:** john c jackson

**Email Address:** superjack0827@gmail.com

**Zip code:** 97228

**Are you submitting comments on behalf of an organization?** No

**Please identify what topic is your public comment related to:** Board Membership (including size of the oversight board, size of panels, appointment and removal processes, term length and renewability, etc.)

**Public comment:** It is important for the PPB to be 100% transparent in every way. When it involves spending tax payers dollars it is of the utmost importance. PPB has \$12 million in the budget to spend without close oversight. The discretionary fund should be transparent and have oversight. Having an open checkbook is not something that the constituents in the city of Portland have, so why should any bureau have that unrestrained luxury? I recommend a committee to oversee discretionary spending at this level. It is definitely in the Publix interest and also the police departments interest.