

| Respondent         | Comment   | COCL Response   |
|--------------------|---|---|
| PCCEP Member       | Disheartened about the information on crowd control training, it's been a continuing issue for 2 years  | The City responded that they are making strides with the final hiring of an outside entity to do a critical   |
| PCCEP Member       | Concerns about Procedural Justice Failures in Training  | PPB focused on internal Procedural Justice training instead of external Procedural Justice training, and maintains this topic is less applicable to Crowd   |
| PCCEP Member       | PPB still went ahead with training when the report  | PPB believes that some training was better than none  |
| PCCEP Member       | Wanted clarification about the 6,500 force reports- were these single incidents, experienced by the same  | We have revised our report to clarify that "6,000-plus" is referring to the number of applications of force.  |
| PCCEP Member       | If COCL reviews training content, why did COCL not see the offensive meme from the RRT training?  | COCL's review is focused on the core trainings for patrol officers and supervisors as required by the Settlement Agreement, not a wide range of special unit trainings, often occurring outside the training academy. Also, these training materials were never provided to the COCL. However, COCL has monitored training related to crowd control since the 2020  |
| Portland Cop Watch | Brought up issue of the BHUAC being the oversight for PSR when PSR is a part of the Fire Bureau. BHUAC/PPB should have minimal oversight.   | We have revised our report to clarify that we view this as a short-term solution until PSR is provided their own oversight body.  |
| Portland Cop Watch | PCCEP should have been able to look at the RFP that went out (for hiring), but they didn't, should be   | We will be addressing the PPB and City's process in developing the RFP in our next report.  |
| Portland Cop Watch | The report mentioned PRB using the incorrect standards but makes it sound like CRC caused the problem   | This is inaccurate. While we discussed one CRC member in the context of PRB, we do not place any blame with the PRB at the feet of the CRC.   |
| Zoom Chat          | How can the COCL sponsor joint events with the PCCEP and then evaluate PCCEP- is there not a conflict of interest here?   | COCL's primary focus with regard to PCCEP is evaluating whether the City is providing sufficient support for PCCEP to function as a legitimate body for community engagement. COCL is completely independent of the City and its advisory groups, and therefore, can evaluate committee activity related to any paragraph of the Settlement Agreement. PCCEP has co-hosted Town Halls to discuss COCL's quarterly |
| Portland Cop Watch | pg. 15/56 - There is a force report mentioned on pg. 15 (about an injury to a community member not prompting an investigation) and another one mentioned on pg. 56 and it is unclear to the reader if these are both the same incidents. COCL should look over this to make sure it is clear to the reader if these | These are not the same incidents.   |
| Portland Cop Watch | Pg. 21 - No explanation is provided on the difference of Category II force between those in MH crisis and cases overall   | Persons in mental health crisis experienced Category II 15% of the time, compared to 11% of the time for persons not in mental health crisis. These numbers are not so far apart that they require further  |
| Portland Cop Watch | Pg. 23 - the use of the word "only" when describing 14 of 64 people being unarmed when getting exposed to   | We have revised our report to remove this term.   |
| Portland Cop Watch | Pg. 23 - There is a difference in the number reported by COCL and by PPB. PPB for Q3 reports CEW used 18 times on 13 people. COCL reported 64. Can COCL clarify why numbers are different   | The 64 number represents several quarters of data beginning in 2017 Q3 and only includes persons in mental health crisis. As seen in Figure 3.9, we too report 18 CEW applications on 13 people for the third   |
| Portland Cop Watch | Charts on Force do not include Category I, but PPB used deadly force 8 times in 2021 and should be  | We rely on the downloadable data from PPB's website which does not contain Category I, a fact   |
| Portland Cop Watch | p. 49 - Questions the COCL suggestion to excuse officers who use a lot of force against disorderly conduct in the entertainment district  | This is inaccurate. We note that district and watch are items of consideration when determining the path an alert might take, particularly given PPB's single-  |

|                    |  |   |
|--------------------|--|---|
| Portland Cop Watch | p. 59 - COCL suggests that the CRC members who sit on the PRB who do not understand the Graham standard should go on walk-alongs. PCW does not find this to be good suggestion to best understand legal      | The City of Portland has restricted CRC members from conducting ride-alongs due to COVID restrictions. So as to avoid the complete absence of interaction, we suggested walk-alongs as a temporary alternative. |
| Portland Cop Watch | COCL did not discuss the work of CRC on the case of former PPB Sgt Liana Reyna. PCW wonders if this will be discussed in the Q4 report.  | This is inaccurate. COCL has looked into this issue and we found there to be no issue with the CRC's or IPR's actions related to those cases.   |
| Portland Cop Watch | P. 74 - Precinct meetings were promoted through a "variety of outlets" but gives no examples. PCW found it difficult to find out about the meeting. Would like the reporting on what outlets it was promoted | Updated report to cite examples of meeting promotion, including a media release, social media posts, and follow-up postings of the recordings.  |
| Portland Cop Watch | p. 70 - Questions COCLs lack of focus on pedestrain stop which PPB says accounts for only 1% of all stops, PCW finds it unlikely that PPB only stopped pedestrians only 10 times in a 3 month period and     | Here COCL was examining racial disparities in police-public contacts and 99% of the existing data comes from traffic stops. If PCW is concerned about pedestrian stop data, we encourage them to provide        |
| Portland Cop Watch | P. 74 - unclear what the body cam software that can scan for "problematic patterns" means and is not sure why body cam issue is brought up in the Community Engagement Section and not Accountability        | Software is being developed and field tested to look at body-worn camera data. We mention it here because BWC data can be used to improve the quality of police-community interactions, but we acknowledge      |