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## Job Group Analysis

The Job Group Analysis shows the jobs in each job group, the number of employees in each job, the number and percentage of people of color and women in each job, the total number of employees in each job group, and the total number and percentage of people of color and women in each job group as of $1 / 1 / 2022$.

## Job Group Analysis

| 1FL | Officials/Admin - Financial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EEO Code: 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Code \& Title |  | Min | Fem | Asi | BIk | His | Ind | Pac | Two |  |
| 30003055 - Financial Analyst II | \# | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 Employee | \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 1 Employee | Totals \# | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \% | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |

## Job Group Analysis

| 1GA | Officials/Admin - General Administrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | EEO Code: 1 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Job Code \& Title |  | Min | Fem | Asi | Blk | His | Ind | Pac | Two |  |
| 30003008 - Analyst III | \# | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 Employee | \% | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 30003007 - Analyst II | \# | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 2 Employees | \% | 50.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 30003006 - Analyst I | \# | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 Employee | \% | 100.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |
| 4 Employees | Totals \# | 2 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
|  | \% | 50.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |  |

# Incumbency vs. Estimated Availability Report 

The Incumbency vs. Estimated Availability Report shows potential areas of underutilization of people of color and women by job group. It compares the percentage of people of color and women employed on $1 / 1 / 2022$ to the estimated percentage of people of color and women available for each job group. If Employment is less than Availability, the Availability percentage becomes the Placement Goal for next year.

## Incumbency vs. Estimated Availability

| 1FL |  | Officials/Admin - Financial |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Emp 1 | Employment \% <br> Availability \% Emp Less Avail? Statistical Value Stat Significant? | Min | Fem | Asi | Blk | His | Ind | Pac | Two |
|  |  | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 33.65 | 55.01 | 21.56 | 1.76 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 3.35 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1.000E | 0.450E | 1.000E | 1.000E | 1.000E |  | 1.000E | 1.000E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1GA |  | Officials/Admin - General Administrative |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Emp } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | Employment \% <br> Availability \% <br> Emp Less Avail? <br> Statistical Value <br> Stat Significant? | Min | Fem | Asi | Blk | His | Ind | Pac | Two |
|  |  | 50.00 | 75.00 | 0.00 | 50.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 25.43 | 49.59 | 6.67 | 7.28 | 6.52 | 0.44 | 0.58 | 3.95 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | 1.000E |  | 1.000E | 1.000E | 1.000E | 1.000E |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Total Employment: 5
S - Significant Difference Rule
A group is considered underutilized when employment is less than the plan availability by a statistically significant amount.

# Shortfall Report 

While the Incumbency vs. Estimated Availability Report compares the employment and the estimated availability in percentages, the Shortfall Report shows the number of persons required to meet the Placement Goal.

## Shortfall



S - Significant Difference Rule
A placement goal is set when employment is less than availability by a statistically significant amount. * When a group tests as a small group according to the Rule of Nines, an alternate rule is used instead of the Significant Difference Rule.

# Adverse Impact Report for Applicants 

The Adverse Impact Report for Applicants helps to identify potential problem areas in the selection rates of applicants. Using the four-fifths rule (also called the $80 \%$ rule), the selection rate of applicants from each nonfavored group is compared with the selection rate from the favored group. A selection rate for any group that is less than four-fifths (or $80 \%$ ) of the rate for the group with the highest selection rate is an indication that hiring practices had an adverse impact on that group. Differences in selection rates that are not statistically significant are highlighted in yellow and those that are statistically significant are highlighted in red.

## City of Portland

## Adverse Impact for Applicants

For Period: 1/1/2021 to 12/31/2021
by Individual Race/Ethnicity


## Adverse Impact for Applicants

## For Period: 1/1/2021 to 12/31/2021

by Total Minorities vs. Whites


## Adverse Impact for Applicants

## For Period: 1/1/2021 to 12/31/2021

by Gender

| Officials/Admin - General Administrative |  |  |  | Favored Group: Mal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fem | Mal |  |  |
| Adverse IRA? | YES |  |  |  |
| Selection Ratio | 0.25 |  |  |  |
| Statistical Value | 0.368 F |  |  |  |
| Significant? | NO |  |  |  |
| Shortfall | 0 |  |  |  |

Infin - indicates that the denominator was zero
"--" indicates that the result could not be calculated
$\wedge$ Standard deviations of 2.00 or greater are generally regarded as statistically significant. For groups under 30, scores are marked with "F" and use Fisher's Exact test. "F" scores of .025 or less are generally regarded as statistically significant.
*** indicates that favored group could not be determined.

Applicants with missing race information are included in calculations by gender.
Applicants with missing gender information are included in calculations by race.

## Goal Attainment

Goal Attainment is progress made through hiring and promotion in meeting placement goals from the prior year.

## Goal Attainment
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## Goal Attainment



| 4LE-1 |  | Protective Service-Sworn-Law Enfr-Entry |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | Min | Fem | Asi | BIK |  | His |  | Ind | Pac | Two |  |  |  |  |
| Prior Year Goal |  | 29.08 |  |  | 12.81 |  | 12.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Hire | 0 | 0 N/A |  |  | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Promotion | 0 | 0 N/A |  |  | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Opps | 0 | 0 N/A |  |  | 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Achieved? * |  | NO OPPS |  |  | NO OP |  | NO OP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 4LE-2 |  | Protective Service-Sworn-Law Enfr-Mid Level |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prior Year Goal | Total | Min | Fem | Asi | Blk | His | Ind | Pac | Two3.65 |  |  |  |
|  |  | 19.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| New Hire | 0 | 0 N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 N/A |  |  |  |
| Promotion | 0 | 0 N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 N/A |  |  |  |
| Total Opps | 0 | 0 N/A |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 N/A |  |  |  |
| Achieved? * |  | NO OPPS |  |  |  |  |  |  | NO OPPS |  |  |  |

## Goal Attainment
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## Goal Attainment




Note - there was no prior year goal required for categories not listed above.

* YES = within one person of exceeding prior year goal

LIMITED = Limited Opportunities. This indicates the prior year goal percent multiplied by total opportunities to the job group was less than one person.

